

Cc: Peterson, Cynthia[Peterson.Cynthia@epa.gov]; Progeess, Christina[Progeess.Christina@epa.gov]
To: Hanley, Jim[Hanley.James@epa.gov]
From: Alan Wartes
Sent: Tue 8/11/2015 3:41:46 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up to Gunnison Country Times article 6 August 2015 ["Standard Mine cleanup underway"]

Good morning Jim,
Thanks for reaching out. I am not sure yet which direction my coverage will take on the issue of the Animas spill. But I will absolutely be in touch when that becomes clearer. I know our readers will want to know exactly what you suggested — how are these two clean-up projects similar? Different?

I will be in touch soon.

Alan Wartes, Staff Writer
Gunnison Country Times
alan@gunnisontimes.com
970.459.0602
970.641.1414

On Aug 10, 2015, at 3:52 PM, Hanley, Jim <Hanley.James@epa.gov> wrote:

Hello Alan,

No doubt your newspaper will be following the Gold King incident and contamination of the Animas River. I trust you received enough information from me to help with last week's article. I wanted to reach out to you and respond to any questions you may have that differentiates the Gold King situation from the Standard Mine site management plans.

Sincerely,

James Hanley
Mining Engineer
Office of Environmental Protection and Remediation
US EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street, EPR-S
Denver, CO 80202-1129
303.312.6725 (office)
hanley.james@epa.gov